

APPENDIX

Table B-1.—PERCENT OF ALLOCATION FOR NONRESPONSE, FOR THE STATE, BY SIZE OF PLACE: 1960

[The term "allocation" means that a characteristic was assigned a value during the tabulation because of the absence of an entry on the schedule, or because the entry was poorly marked, inconsistent with another entry, or was not readable by the mechanical equipment. See text for further explanation. Percent not shown where less than 0.1 or where base is less than 100.]

SUBJECT	THE STATE	URBAN				RURAL		
		TOTAL	URBANIZED AREAS		OTHER URBAN		TOTAL	OTHER RURAL
			CENTRAL CITIES	URBAN FRINGE	PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE	PLACES OF 2,500 TO 10,000		
TOTAL PERSONS: NUMBER.....	4 662 498	2 910 149	1 400 812	470 534	634 132	404 671	1 752 349	197 549 1 554 800
PERSONS SUBSTITUTED: ¹								
FOR OMISSIONS DUE TO NONINTERVIEW	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4
FOR OMISSIONS DUE TO MECHANICAL FAILURE	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
PERSONS WITH ONE OR MORE ALLOCATIONS.....	3.0	3.3	3.9	2.6	2.9	2.7	2.5	2.6
RELATIONSHIP ALLOCATED.....	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
SEX ALLOCATED	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
COLOR ALLOCATED	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3
BIRTHDATE ALLOCATED	1.7	1.9	2.4	1.5	1.6	1.3	1.2	1.1
DECADE UNKNOWN.....	1.1	1.4	1.8	0.8	1.1	0.8	0.6	0.7
DECADE KNOWN.....	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5
PERSONS 14 YEARS OLD AND OVER: NUMBER.....	3 250 851	2 041 859	985 250	313 297	455 542	287 770	1 208 992	140 394 1 068 598
MARITAL STATUS ALLOCATED.....	0.8	1.0	1.3	0.7	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.7

¹ THIS TYPE OF ALLOCATION, WHICH IS NOT INCLUDED IN THE OTHER PERCENTAGES IN THIS TABLE, CONSISTS OF CASES WHERE PERSONS, AND ALL THEIR CHARACTERISTICS, WERE SUBSTITUTED FOR AN ESTIMATED NUMBER OF OMITTED PERSONS. OMISSIONS DUE TO NON INTERVIEW CONSISTED OF PERSONS IN HOUSEHOLDS FOR WHICH THE ENUMERATOR OBTAINED NO POPULATION DATA. OMISSIONS DUE TO MECHANICAL FAILURE CONSISTED OF FULL SCHEDULE PAGES WHICH WERE NOT PROPERLY RECORDED IN THE ELECTRONIC PROCESSING SYSTEM.

Table B-2.—PERCENT OF ALLOCATION FOR NONRESPONSE, FOR URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE AND COUNTIES: 1960

[The term "allocation" means that a characteristic was assigned a value during the tabulation because of the absence of an entry on the schedule, or because the entry was poorly marked, inconsistent with another entry, or was not readable by the mechanical equipment. See text for further explanation. Percent not shown where less than 0.1 or where base is less than 100]

AREA	TOTAL PERSONS (NUMBER)	PERSONS SUBSTITUTED ¹		PERSONS WITH 1 OR MORE ALLOCATIONS	PERSONS WITH ALLOCATIONS				PERSONS 14 YEARS OLD AND OVER	MARITAL STATUS
		FOR OMISSIONS DUE TO NON-INTERVIEWS	FOR OMISSIONS DUE TO MECHANICAL FAILURE		RELATIONSHIP	SEX	COLOR	BIRTH DATE		
URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE										
ANDERSON	49 061	0.5	0.1	3.8	0.6	0.4	0.3	2.5	1.2	
BEDFORD	13 024	0.4	0.5	3.9	1.5	0.5	0.6	1.3	0.6	
BEECH GROVE	10 973	0.1	0.1	1.0	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.4	0.1	
BLOOMINGTON	31 357	0.2	0.1	2.5	0.5	0.2	0.5	1.2	0.6	
COLUMBUS	20 778	0.6	0.1	7.8	0.8	0.5	0.9	5.0	2.8	
CONNERSVILLE	17 698	0.2	...	2.1	0.5	0.2	0.5	0.9	0.3	
CRAWFORDSVILLE	14 231	0.2	...	2.0	0.5	0.4	0.2	1.5	0.6	
EAST CHICAGO	57 669	0.6	...	5.9	0.8	0.6	0.6	4.0	2.5	
ELKHART	40 274	0.3	0.2	3.2	0.6	0.4	0.3	1.9	1.4	
ELWOOD	11 793	0.2	0.1	2.0	0.4	0.1	0.8	0.6	0.3	
EVANSVILLE	141 543	0.5	...	3.0	0.6	0.3	0.4	1.7	0.7	
FORT WAYNE	161 776	0.5	...	4.2	0.6	0.4	0.5	2.7	1.4	
FRANKFORT	15 302	0.7	0.3	3.5	0.9	0.4	0.2	2.2	0.7	
GARY	178 320	0.5	0.2	4.2	0.6	0.5	0.4	2.7	1.4	
GOSHEN	13 718	0.3	0.1	3.0	0.6	0.4	0.2	2.0	0.5	
HAMMOND	111 698	0.3	...	2.8	0.4	0.3	0.3	1.6	0.8	
HIGHLAND	16 284	0.3	0.2	1.1	0.2	0.1	...	0.8	0.2	
HOBART	18 680	0.2	0.2	3.2	0.4	0.2	0.5	1.9	0.6	
HUNTINGTON	16 185	0.2	0.1	2.5	0.7	0.2	0.2	1.3	0.7	
INDIANAPOLIS	476 258	0.6	...	4.2	0.6	0.5	0.4	2.9	1.7	
JEFFERSONVILLE	19 522	0.4	...	3.1	0.6	0.4	0.4	1.4	0.9	
KOKOMO	47 197	0.3	...	2.0	0.6	0.2	0.3	0.8	0.5	
LAFAYETTE	42 330	0.4	0.2	2.5	0.6	0.2	0.3	1.2	0.8	
LA PORTE	21 157	0.3	0.1	3.4	0.8	0.5	0.4	1.9	0.7	
LAWRENCE	10 103	0.3	0.1	2.7	0.2	0.1	0.4	1.7	0.8	
LOGANSPORT	21 106	0.1	0.1	2.5	0.5	0.3	0.7	1.1	0.4	
MADISON	10 097	0.2	...	4.8	0.5	0.5	0.3	3.3	1.5	
MARION	37 854	0.4	0.3	2.2	0.3	0.4	0.3	1.3	0.9	
MICHIGAN CITY	36 653	0.2	...	2.4	0.4	0.2	0.3	1.4	0.7	
MISHAWAKA	33 361	0.3	...	5.4	0.6	0.4	0.4	3.7	1.8	
MUNCIE	68 603	0.5	...	2.6	0.6	0.4	0.4	1.3	0.7	
MUNSTER	10 313	0.2	0.2	2.8	0.7	0.3	0.5	1.2	0.4	
NEW ALBANY	37 812	0.4	...	2.1	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.9	0.5	
NEW CASTLE	20 349	0.3	0.1	3.4	0.6	0.3	0.6	2.0	0.8	
PERU	14 453	0.2	...	1.6	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.9	0.4	
PORTAGE	11 822	0.3	...	1.5	0.2	...	0.1	0.8	0.8	
RICHMOND	44 149	0.4	0.2	2.8	0.6	0.5	0.4	1.3	0.8	
SEYMORE	11 629	0.5	...	3.7	0.7	0.6	0.3	2.3	0.7	
SHELBYVILLE	14 317	0.4	0.2	2.3	0.5	0.3	0.6	0.9	0.3	
SOUTH BEND	132 445	0.4	0.1	3.7	0.8	0.6	0.4	2.0	1.1	
TERRE HAUTE	72 500	0.5	...	3.2	0.6	0.3	0.4	1.7	0.7	
VALPARAISO	15 227	0.1	...	1.6	0.3	0.2	0.2	1.0		

¹ THIS TYPE OF ALLOCATION, WHICH IS NOT INCLUDED IN THE OTHER PERCENTAGES IN THIS TABLE, CONSISTS OF CASES WHERE PERSONS, AND ALL THEIR CHARACTERISTICS, WERE SUBSTITUTED FOR AN ESTIMATED NUMBER OF OMITTED PERSONS. OMISSIONS DUE TO NON INTERVIEW CONSISTED OF PERSONS IN HOUSEHOLDS FOR WHICH THE ENUMERATOR OBTAINED NO POPULATION DATA. OMISSIONS DUE TO MECHANICAL FAILURE CONSISTED OF FULL SCHEDULE PAGES WHICH WERE NOT PROPERLY RECORDED IN THE ELECTRONIC PROCESSING SYSTEM.

Table B-2.—PERCENT OF ALLOCATION FOR NONRESPONSE, FOR URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE AND COUNTIES: 1960—Con.

[The term "allocation" means that a characteristic was assigned a value during the tabulation because of the absence of an entry on the schedule, or because the entry was poorly marked, inconsistent with another entry, or was not readable by the mechanical equipment. See text for further explanation. Percent not shown where less than 0.1 or where base is less than 100.]

AREA	TOTAL PERSONS (NUMBER)	PERSONS SUBSTITUTED ¹		PERSONS WITH 1 OR MORE ALLOCATIONS	PERSONS WITH ALLOCATIONS			PERSONS 14 YEARS OLD AND OVER	
		FOR OMISSIONS DUE TO NON-INTERVIEWS	FOR OMISSIONS DUE TO MECHANICAL FAILURE		RELATIONSHIP	SEX	COLOR	BIRTH DATE	MARITAL STATUS
URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE--CON.									
VINCENNES	18 046	0.3	...	1.8	0.4	0.2	0.1	1.1	0.4
WABASH	12 621	0.6	...	1.9	0.3	0.3	1.1	0.6	0.5
WASHINGTON	10 846	0.4	...	2.8	0.7	0.3	0.2	1.6	0.5
WEST LAFAYETTE	12 680	0.1	0.1	3.9	0.4	0.3	0.5	2.2	1.2
COUNTIES									
ADAMS	24 643	0.3	0.1	2.9	0.6	0.2	0.2	2.0	0.2
ALLEN	232 196	0.5	0.1	3.5	0.5	0.3	0.4	2.2	1.1
BARTHOLOMEW	48 198	0.5	...	5.6	0.5	0.4	0.6	3.1	2.5
BENTON	11 912	1.0	...	2.4	0.5	0.2	0.3	1.4	0.4
BLACKFORD	14 792	0.2	...	2.0	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.9	0.4
BOONE	27 543	0.4	...	2.9	0.5	0.4	0.5	1.4	0.9
BROWN	7 024	1.3	0.3	4.8	1.1	0.4	0.6	2.0	2.3
CARROLL	16 934	0.6	...	1.9	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.9	0.3
CASS	40 931	0.1	0.1	1.9	0.4	0.2	0.5	0.9	0.3
CLARK	62 795	0.4	...	2.4	0.6	0.4	0.4	1.0	0.5
CLAY	24 207	0.3	...	2.6	0.6	0.4	0.3	1.2	0.5
CLINTON	30 765	0.4	0.2	2.6	0.7	0.3	0.3	1.4	0.6
CRAWFORD	8 379	0.5	...	1.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.2
DAVIESS	26 636	0.4	...	2.6	0.6	0.3	0.1	1.4	0.4
DEARBORN	28 674	0.3	...	3.0	0.8	0.4	0.4	1.4	0.5
DECATUR	20 019	0.3	...	2.7	0.7	0.1	0.4	1.3	0.4
DE KALB	28 271	0.2	...	1.9	0.4	0.2	0.2	1.1	0.3
DELAWARE	110 938	0.4	0.1	2.8	0.5	0.3	0.4	1.6	0.8
DUBOIS	27 463	0.2	...	1.2	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.4	0.2
ELKHART	106 790	0.3	0.1	2.4	0.5	0.3	0.3	1.4	0.7
FAYETTE	24 454	0.2	...	1.9	0.5	0.2	0.4	0.8	0.3
FLOYD	51 397	0.5	...	2.2	0.6	0.3	0.5	0.9	0.5
FOUNTAIN	18 706	0.4	...	3.1	0.7	0.4	0.3	1.7	0.6
FRANKLIN	17 015	0.4	...	2.1	0.6	0.2	0.5	0.8	0.4
FULTON	16 957	0.2	0.1	1.7	0.5	0.1	0.4	0.6	0.3
GIBSON	29 949	0.3	0.5	3.0	0.8	0.4	0.6	1.1	0.7
GRANT	75 741	0.4	0.2	3.1	0.4	0.4	0.3	1.9	0.9
GREENE	26 327	0.6	0.1	3.9	0.8	0.5	0.8	1.9	0.7
HAMILTON	40 132	0.3	0.1	3.0	0.6	0.4	0.5	1.6	0.5
HANCOCK	26 665	0.2	0.4	2.2	0.7	0.2	0.3	0.9	0.5
HARRISON	19 207	0.3	...	2.2	0.8	0.3	0.3	0.8	0.4
HENDRICKS	40 896	0.2	...	1.9	0.3	0.2	0.3	1.0	0.3
HENRY	48 899	0.2	0.2	2.4	0.6	0.3	0.3	1.3	0.5
HOWARD	69 509	0.3	...	1.8	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.7	0.4
HUNTINGTON	33 814	0.2	0.1	2.8	0.6	0.2	0.4	1.4	0.6
JACKSON	30 556	0.5	...	4.8	1.0	0.5	0.4	2.6	1.3
JASPER	18 842	0.2	0.2	1.5	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.3
JAY	22 572	0.2	...	2.0	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.9	0.4
JEFFERSON	24 061	0.3	...	4.0	0.7	0.4	0.5	2.4	0.9
JENNINGS	17 267	0.6	...	4.5	0.9	0.8	0.3	1.6	1.9
JOHNSON	43 704	0.2	0.2	2.6	0.5	0.3	0.5	1.4	0.5
KNOX	41 561	0.2	...	1.9	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.9	0.4
KOSCIOUSKO	40 373	0.2	0.3	3.7	0.7	0.3	0.2	2.5	0.9
LAGRANGE	17 380	0.7	0.2	2.9	1.1	0.4	0.2	1.1	0.4
LAKE	513 269	0.4	0.1	3.5	0.5	0.4	0.4	2.1	1.1
LA PORTE	95 111	0.3	0.1	2.8	0.5	0.3	0.4	1.5	0.7
LAWRENCE	36 564	0.5	0.3	2.8	1.0	0.3	0.6	0.9	0.4
MADISON	125 819	0.3	0.1	2.7	0.5	0.3	0.4	1.5	0.7
MARION	697 567	0.5	...	3.6	0.5	0.4	0.4	2.3	1.4
MARSHALL	32 443	0.4	...	1.8	0.6	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.2
MARTIN	10 608	0.3	0.1	2.1	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.9	0.8
MIAMI	38 000	0.2	...	1.6	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.8	0.5
MONROE	59 225	0.2	0.1	2.0	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.9	0.5
MONTGOMERY	32 089	0.3	0.2	2.6	0.6	0.3	0.2	1.4	0.4
MORGAN	33 875	0.5	0.2	3.9	0.8	0.5	0.4	2.1	0.9
NEWTON	11 502	0.3	0.4	2.1	0.6	0.3	0.5	0.8	0.2
NOBLE	28 162	0.7	0.3	3.6	1.0	0.2	0.3	2.0	0.6
OHIO	4 165	0.2	...	3.0	0.9	0.1	0.5	1.5	0.4
ORANGE	16 877	0.3	0.1	4.1	0.8	0.6	1.3	1.4	0.9
OWEN	11 400	0.7	...	2.4	0.7	0.4	0.5	1.0	0.4
PARKER	14 804	0.5	...	2.7	1.0	0.5	0.2	0.9	0.5
PERRY	17 232	0.4	...	4.9	0.7	0.4	0.5	2.7	1.5
PIKE	12 797	0.2	0.1	3.2	0.8	0.4	0.2	1.8	0.4
PORTER	60 279	0.2	...	1.8	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.9	0.5
POSEY	19 214	0.3	0.1	1.6	0.6	0.2	0.1	0.7	0.2
PULASKI	12 837	0.4	0.1	1.9	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.8	0.4
PUTNAM	24 927	0.6	0.2	3.1	0.5	0.2	0.5	1.8	0.5
RANDOLPH	28 434	0.5	0.1	2.7	0.7	0.3	0.2	1.2	0.8
RIPLEY	20 641	0.2	0.1	2.0	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.8
RUSH	20 393	0.3	...	3.2	0.6	0.2	0.1	1.6	1.4
ST JOSEPH	238 614	0.3	0.1	3.7	0.7	0.5	0.4	2.1	1.1
SCOTT	14 643	0.4	...	3.2	1.1	0.3	0.8	0.7	0.9
SHELBY	34 093	0.3	0.1	1.8	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.2
SPENCER	16 074	0.3	0.1	3.1	0.5	0.2	0.4	2.0	0.4
STARKE	17 911	0.5	0.2	2.8	0.8	0.4	0.5	1.2	0.6
STEUBEN	17 184	0.5	...	2.3	0.8	0.2	0.2	1.1	0.5
SULLIVAN	21 721	0.3	0.3	2.7	0.5	0.2	0.4	1.5	0.4
SWITZERLAND	7 092	0.8	...	5.2	1.0	0.5	0.8	1.3	2.6

¹ THIS TYPE OF ALLOCATION, WHICH IS NOT INCLUDED IN THE OTHER PERCENTAGES IN THIS TABLE, CONSISTS OF CASES WHERE PERSONS, AND ALL THEIR CHARACTERISTICS, WERE SUBSTITUTED FOR AN ESTIMATED NUMBER OF OMITTED PERSONS. OMISSIONS DUE TO NON INTERVIEW CONSISTED OF PERSONS IN HOUSEHOLDS FOR WHICH THE ENUMERATOR OBTAINED NO POPULATION DATA. OMISSIONS DUE TO MECHANICAL FAILURE CONSISTED OF FULL SCHEDULE PAGES WHICH WERE NOT PROPERLY RECORDED IN THE ELECTRONIC PROCESSING SYSTEM.

Table B-2.—PERCENT OF ALLOCATION FOR NONRESPONSE, FOR URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE AND COUNTIES: 1960—Con.

[The term "allocation" means that a characteristic was assigned a value during the tabulation because of the absence of an entry on the schedule, or because the entry was poorly marked, inconsistent with another entry, or was not readable by the mechanical equipment. See text for further explanation. Percent not shown where less than 0.1 or where base is less than 100.]

AREA	TOTAL PERSONS (NUMBER)	PERSONS SUBSTITUTED ¹		PERSONS WITH 1 OR MORE ALLOCATIONS	PERSONS WITH ALLOCATIONS			PERSONS 14 YEARS OLD AND OVER	
		FOR OMISSIONS DUE TO NON-INTERVIEWS	FOR OMISSIONS DUE TO MECHANICAL FAILURE		RELATIONSHIP	SEX	COLOR	BIRTH DATE	
COUNTIES--CON.									
TIPPECANOE	89 122	0.3	0.1	2.6	0.5	0.3	0.4	1.3	0.8
TIPTON	15 856	0.8	0.2	3.0	0.9	0.5	0.5	1.1	0.6
UNION	6 457	1.1	0.5	2.4	0.5	0.2	0.3	1.1	1.0
VANDERBURGH	165 794	0.4	...	2.9	0.6	0.3	0.4	1.5	0.7
VERMILLION	17 683	0.3	0.2	2.7	0.5	0.3	0.3	1.5	0.6
VIGO	108 458	0.4	...	2.9	0.6	0.3	0.4	1.6	0.6
WABASH	32 605	0.5	...	1.8	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.8	0.5
WARREN	8 545	0.2	0.6	1.0	0.6	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1
WARRICK	23 577	0.3	...	3.3	0.9	0.5	0.6	1.3	0.4
WASHINGTON	17 819	0.2	...	1.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.3
WAYNE	74 039	0.2	0.1	2.5	0.6	0.4	0.4	1.1	0.7
WELLS	21 220	0.3	0.1	2.7	0.7	0.4	0.4	1.2	0.4
WHITE	19 709	0.3	0.2	2.0	0.4	0.3	0.4	1.0	0.4
WHITLEY	20 954	0.5	...	3.7	0.8	0.3	0.3	2.2	0.8

¹ THIS TYPE OF ALLOCATION, WHICH IS NOT INCLUDED IN THE OTHER PERCENTAGES IN THIS TABLE, CONSISTS OF CASES WHERE PERSONS, AND ALL THEIR CHARACTERISTICS, WERE SUBSTITUTED FOR AN ESTIMATED NUMBER OF OMITTED PERSONS. OMISSIONS DUE TO NON INTERVIEW CONSISTED OF PERSONS IN HOUSEHOLDS FOR WHICH THE ENUMERATOR OBTAINED NO POPULATION DATA. OMISSIONS DUE TO MECHANICAL FAILURE CONSISTED OF FULL SCHEDULE PAGES WHICH WERE NOT PROPERLY RECORDED IN THE ELECTRONIC PROCESSING SYSTEM.